



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/862,788	05/18/2001	Mark Ahmadjian	AFB00500	8998
7	590 05/05/2003			
Thomas C. Stover		EXAMINER .		
ESC/JAZ 40 W Hanscom AFB	right Street, MA 01731-2903		KIM, AHSHIK	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2876	
			DATE MAILED: 05/05/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•		_	<i></i>
-		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action		09/862,788 AHMADJIAN ET AL.		
	,, , ,	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Ahshik Kim	2876	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
There inal r condi	REPLY FILED 20 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE afore, further action by the applicant is required to be ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (tion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appenination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this a (1) a timely filed amendment	oplication. A proper reply to a which places the application i	a in
	PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a)   b)	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing day.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expir. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WATOG.07(f).	s Advisory Action, or (2) the date se e later than SIX MONTHS from the	mailing date of the final rejection.	
ee ha ee un 2) as	ktensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period der 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offiled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	d of extension and the corresponding the shortened statutory period for ffice later than three months after the	g amount of the fee. The appropriate reply originally set in the final Office	e extension action; or
1.[]	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR)			
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(8	a) $\square$ they raise new issues that would require furt	her consideration and/or sea	rch (see NOTE below);	
(t	o)   they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(0	<ul> <li>they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	in better form for appeal by	materially reducing or simplify	ing the
(0	l) 🔲 they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding numbe	r of finally rejected claims.	
	NOTE:			
3.□	Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):		
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in	a separate, timely filed amer	ndment
5.🛛	The a) $\square$ affidavit, b) $\square$ exhibit, or c) $\boxtimes$ request for application in condition for allowance because: $\underline{S}$		onsidered but does NOT place	ce the
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLE	ELY to issues which were new	/ly
7.🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims v	· / /	•	n
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	<b>:</b>		
	Claim(s) allowed: None.			
	Claim(s) objected to: None.			
	Claim(s) rejected: 1-15.			
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
3.	The proposed drawing correction filed on i	s a) approved or b) di	sapproved by the Examiner.	
9.□	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No	(s).	
0.🛛	Other: See Continuation Sheet			
		S	MICHAEL G. LEE LEERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800	R

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It is the Examiner's opinion that the Hill patent utilizes amplifiers to amplify detedted singal.

Continuation of 10. Other: Claims 1-15 remain rejected as set forth in Final Office Action (paper #6).